

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-; 150
WWW.USCIO.DV

MAIL

Paper No. 40

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021

JUL 2 3 2004

DIRECTUR UPPIGE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of

Oshima, et. al. Application No. 09/662,695 Filed: September 15, 2000 Attorney Docket No. 2000_1130 DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER

37 CFR §1.183

This is a decision on the June 4, 2004, "Petition Under 37 CFR 1.183 to Accept Substitute Specification in Reissue Application" requesting waiver of the 37 CFR 1.125(d) prohibition against the filing of a substitute specification in a reissue application. The petition is being treated pursuant to 37 CFR 1.183 for waiver of 37 CFR 1.173(a)(1) in addition to waiver of 37 CFR 1.125(d).

The petition is before the Office of Patent Legal Administration of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

The petition is granted.

A fee of \$130.00 has been charged to Deposit Account No. 23-0975 for the petition under 37 CFR 1.183, as authorized by the petition on page 1.

DECISION

37 CFR 1.125(d) prohibits the filing of a substitute specification in a reissue application, while the present petition requests entry of the substitute specification filed on July 7, 2003. Accordingly, this petition is being considered as a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 for a waiver of 37 CFR 1.125(d). 37 CFR 1.173(a)(1) requires the filing of the specification in the form of "a copy of the printed patent, in double column format, each page on only one side of a single sheet of paper." The substitute specification filed on July 7, 2003 is not a copy of the printed patent, in double column format. Accordingly, this petition is also being considered as a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 for a waiver of 37 CFR 1.173(a)(1).

The reissue application was originally filed with a specification that did not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(a)(1). On July 7, 2003, a substitute specification was filed together with a supplemental amendment. Within the "REMARKS" section of the supplemental amendment, applicant states: "In response to the Advisory Action mailed May 27, 2003, a substitute specification and abstract, proposed drawing amendments marked in red, and formal drawings incorporating the amendments are filed herewith." No further comments by the examiner, regarding the substitute specification, are noted in the application.

Application: 09/662,695 Decision on Petition

Petitioner is requesting waiver of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.125(d) and 37 CFR 1.173(a)(1) based on the fact that "...the Examiner required a substitute specification because of the length of the specification and the number of amendments..". It is noted that within the Office action mailed May 27, 2003, and specifically on page two, within paragraph two, the examiner states "A substitute specification excluding the claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the extent of amendments renders it difficult to be entered."

This basis is considered to be sufficient for granting the petition. The rule will be waived to comply with the requirement of the examiner, since there is no other way to present the required substitute specification, and the requirement for the substitute specification by the examiner is deemed appropriate.

CONCLUSION

- 1. The request under 37 CFR 1.183 to waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.125(d) and 37 CFR 1.173(a)(1) is granted.
- 2. The substitute specification filed on July 7, 2003 is accepted, and will be entered.
- 3. The reissue application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 2600 for further action as appropriate.
- 4. Telephone inquiries with regard to this decision should be directed to Dwayne D. Bost, TC2600 SPRE, at (703) 305-4778.

Kenneth M. Schor

Senior Legal Advisor

Office of Patent Legal Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examining Policy

C:\kenpet5\09662695-183 waiver decision sub spec.doc